## **ORDER SHEET** WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

## Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No - CCP 7 OF 2018 [OA 847 of 2014]

Panchugopal Banerjee Vs Mr. Suresh Kumar, IAS, Principal Secretary, Disaster Management & Home Civil Defence Department, Govt. of W.B.& Anr.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar 3
04 16.11.2018	For the Petitioner : Mr. N.C. Betal, Learned Advocate.	
10.11.2010	For the Contemnor OP: Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned Advocate.	
	The petitioner has prayed for issuance of contempt rule	
	against the contemnors for wilful violation of judgment and order	
	passed by this Tribunal on August 30, 2017 in OA-847 of 2014.	
	It appears from the operative portion of the judgment	
	passed in OA-847 of 2014 that the Tribunal gave direction to the	
	Secretary, Home (Civil Defence) Department, Government of	
	West Bengal to take a decision in the matter of entitlement of	
	pay scale of the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the	
	date of receiving copy of the order. It is relevant to quote	
	paragraph 16 of the judgment which is as follows:	
	"16. The Application now stands allowed. The	
	Secretary, Home (Civil Defence) Department, Government of	
	West Bengal will take a decision in this matter within a	
	period of 4 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of this	
	order. The decision so taken must be communicated to the	
	Applicant within a week thereafter."	

## **ORDER SHEET**

	. anonagopa. zanonjec
Form No.	
	Vs.

Mr. Suresh Kumar, IAS, Principal Secretary, Disaster Management & Home Civil Defence Department, Govt. of W.B.& Anr.

Panchugonal Baneriee

Case No. CCP 7 of 2018 [OA 847 OF 2014]

By producing a copy of the reasoned order dated May 11, 2018 passed by the Principal Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Department of Disaster Management and Civil Defence, Learned Counsel for the petitioner contends that the contemnors have wilfully violated the dictum of the Tribunal. By referring to paragraph 15 of the judgment in question, Learned Counsel further contends that the petitioner is entitled to get pay scale of the Constable, but the reasoned order dated May 11, 2018 has specifically turned down the claim of the petitioner to get the pay scale of the Constable and thereby the dictum of the judgment is violated by the contemnors. On the other hand, Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned Counsel representing the contemnors, submits that the specific direction of the Tribunal on the Secretary, Department of Home (Civil Defence) was to take a decision in the matter within the stipulated period of time.

Having heard Learned Counsel representing both parties and on consideration of the judgment passed by the Tribunal in OA-847 of 2014, we find that the Hon'ble Members of the Bench have made observation that the petitioner being Agragami is entitled to get pay scale of the Constable. Had it been the intention of the Hon'ble Members of the Bench that the petitioner would be given the pay scale of the Constable of Police, the Hon'ble Members of the Bench would have given specific direction to the Secretary, Department of Home (Civil Defence)

	<u>ORDER SHEET</u>		
_		Panchugopal Banerjee	
Form No.	Vs.		
	Mr. Suresh Kumar, IAS, Principal Secretary, Disaster Ma		vt. of W.B.& Anr.
Case No. <u>CCP</u>	<b>P 7 of 2018</b> [OA 847 OF 2014]		
	to also the matification the control of the	Operately with a 4	
	to give the petitioner the pay scale of the		
	giving direction to take a decision in this matt	ter by the Secretary	
	of the concerned department.		
	In view of our above findings, we are u	inable to accept the	
	contention made on behalf of the petitioner th	at the dictum of the	
	judgment is violated by the contemnor in pa	ssing the reasoned	
	order dated May 11, 2018, which is placed on	record.	
	As a result, we cannot persuade our	realizes to hold that	
	As a result, we cannot persuade our		
	the contemnors have wilfully violated the ju		
	passed by the Tribunal in OA-847 of 20	)14. However, the	
	petitioner if aggrieved by the reasoned order	der dated May 11,	
	2018, can very well challenge the said orde	er by filing separate	
	original application before the Tribunal.		
	With the above observation, the co	ontempt application	
	stands disposed of.		
	·	ad to both narring	
	Let a plain copy of this order be suppli	ed to both parties.	
	(0 ( 0 0 0 )	(5.46.500)	
	(S.K. DAS) MEMBER(A)	( R. K. BAG ) MEMBER (J)	
Sanjib			